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## 1. Introduction

- This paper: viewing local and long-distance harmony and dissimilation processes as consequences of segmental correspondence-namely, unstable surface correspondence.
- This view offers an improved perspective on classic nasal-consonant (NC) patterns that have previously been regulated in Optimality Theory by context-specific markedness constraints.


### 1.1. Agreement by Correspondence (ABC) as a theory of harmony and disharmony

- Agreement by Correspondence theory (ABC; Hansson 2001; Rose and Walker 2004; Bennett 2013; a.o.): phonological patterns such as harmony and dissimilation arise from the interaction of corresponding surface segments.
- Surface CORR(espondence) relationships are determined by phonological similarity (e.g., participating segments are obstruents, liquids, etc.).
(1) Example: hierarchy of correspondence constraints operating on set of stop consonants (Walker 2000b, Hansson 2001, Rose \& Walker 2004, etc.):

| Most similar | identity | CORR-NN, CORR-DD, CORR-TT |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Less similar | both voiced stops but can differ in [nas] | CORR-ND |
|  | both oral stops but can differ in [voice] | CORR-TD |
| Least similar | all stops but can differ in [nas] and [voice] | CORR-NT |

- Harmony: corresponding segments become more similar in order to satisfy featural identity within a correspondence set (IDENT-CC [F]).
- Disharmony: the cost of satisfying IDENT-CC [F] or other conditions on correspondence is too high; segments become less similar to escape the costly correspondence relationship (following Bennett 2013).
> Unstable Surface correspondence: two structures are similar enough to interact (CORR) but too uncomfortably similar to co-exist within a certain distance. Harmony and disharmony $=$ repairs for resolving this conspiracy.


## 1.2. $\quad A B C$ as a theory of local interactions?

- ABC was originally devised for long distance consonant harmony patterns (Walker 2000; Hansson 2001; Rose and Walker 2004; Bennett 2013; a.o.), and has since been extended to vowel harmony (Sasa 2009; Rhodes 2012; cf. Jurgec 2013).
- But ABC formalism is not limited to long-distance effects and can actually insightfully handle local assimilatory effects (Wayment 2009; Shih 2013; Inkelas \& Shih 2013; Lionnet 2013; Sylak-Glassman 2013).
- Correspondence is already scaled by proximity (Walker 2000, Hansson 2001, Rose \& Walker 2004, et seq.). Examples of proximity-scaled CorR constraints (notation varies in the literature):

| No proximity restriction: | CORR-C: $\infty: \mathrm{C}$ | 'C's must correspond' |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Syllable adjacency: | CORR-C:ఠ:C | 'C's in adjacent syllables must correspond' |

- The logical end point of a proximity scale is strict adjacency:


## Strict string-adjacency: $\quad$ CORR-C::C $\quad$ 'String-adjacent C's must correspond'

- Claim of this paper: the need to repair UNSTABLE CORRESPONDENCE underlies a wider variety of phenomena, including local assimilation (Wayment 2009), local dissimilation, deletion, epenthesis, metathesis. ABC is not limited to (long-distance) (dis)harmony.
$>$ Case study: NC clusters, well-known subject of phonological conspiracies.


## 2. UnSTABLE CORRESPONDENCE IN NC CLUSTERS

- Cross-linguistically, nasal+fricative (NS) and nasal+voiceless consonant (NÇ) clusters are dispreferred (e.g., Padgett 1994; Pater 1999/2004, Hayes 1999; respectively; see also Hyman 2001).
- NS and NÇ are prone to a number of phonological repairs:
- deletion (e.g., Zoque /N-faha/ $\rightarrow$ [faha]; Padgett 1994)
- epenthesis (e.g., Dutch /zwem-t/ $\rightarrow$ [zwempt] 'swims'; Warner 2002:8)
- fusion (e.g., Indonesian /məN-pilih/ $\rightarrow$ [məmilih] 'to choose, vote'; Pater 2004)
- dissimilation (e.g., Polish/šansa/ $\rightarrow$ [šawsa]; Padgett 1994)
- assimilation (e.g., Mandar /maN+tunu/ $\rightarrow$ [mattun]; Pater 1999/2004)
- Previous accounts invoke NC-specific markedness constraints (e.g., NPA, *NÇ) that are arbitrarily specific to particular strings of segments (Padgett 1994; Pater 1999/2004)
- The view from ABC: repairs triggered by unstable Correspondence within the cluster. NC-specific markedness constraints are not needed.


### 2.1. Mandar (Pater 1999/2004): $\mathbf{N}$ assimilates totally to following $\mathbf{C}$

- Mandar nasals assimilate to following voiceless stops (2a). Nasals are tolerated before voiced stops (2b).
(2) a. /maN-tunu/ $\rightarrow$ [mattunu] 'to burn'
b. /maN-dunu/ $\rightarrow$ [mandunu] 'to drink'
- Key insight: adjacent stops are sufficiently similar to interact (Corr-C::C [-cont]), and interacting stops must match in continuancy and voice (IDENT-CC [cont, voice]).
- Assimilation of a nasal to a following voiceless consonant satisfies both Corr-C ::C [-cont] and IDENT-CC [cont, voice].
(3) $/ \mathbf{m a N}-$ tunu/ $\rightarrow$ [mattunu]

|  |  | $/$ maN+tunu / | IDENT-CC <br> [cont, voice] | CORR-C::C <br> [-cont] | IDENT-IO <br> [cont] | IDENT-IO <br> [nas] |
| ---: | ---: | :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Correspondence, <br> identity | a. | mat $_{\mathrm{x}} \mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{x}} \mathrm{unu}$ |  |  |  | 1 |
| Correspondence; <br> no identity | b. | man $_{\mathrm{x}} \mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{x}} \mathrm{unu}$ | W 1 |  |  | L |
| No correspondence; <br> identity | c. | mat $_{\mathrm{x}} \mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{y}} \mathrm{unu}$ |  | W 1 |  | 1 |
| No correspondence; <br> no identity | d. | man $_{\mathrm{x}} \mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{y}} \mathrm{unu}$ |  | W 1 |  | L |
| No correspondence; <br> dissimilation | e. | maw $_{\mathrm{x}} \mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{y}} \mathrm{unu}$ |  |  | W 1 | 1 |

Note: only changes to $C_{1}$ are considered here (but see §3).

- A nasal + voiced consonant cluster, e,g, /maN-dundu/ $\rightarrow$ [mandundu], already satisfies IDENT-CC [cont, voice] and does not need to undergo gemination:
(4) $\quad / \mathrm{maN}$-dunu/ $\rightarrow$ [mandunu]

|  |  | $/ \operatorname{maN}^{2}+\mathrm{dunu} /$ | IDENT-CC <br> [cont, voice] | CORR-C::C <br> [-cont] | IDENT-IO <br> [cont] | IDENT-IO <br> [nas] |
| ---: | ---: | :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Correspondence, <br> identity | a. | $\operatorname{mad}_{\mathrm{x}} \mathrm{d}_{\mathrm{x}} \mathrm{unu}$ |  |  |  | W 1 |
| Correspondence; <br> no identity | b. | man $_{\mathrm{x}} \mathrm{d}_{\mathrm{x}} \mathrm{unu}$ |  |  |  |  |
| No correspondence; <br> identity | c. | $\operatorname{mad}_{\mathrm{x}} \mathrm{d}_{\mathrm{y}} \mathrm{unu}$ |  | W 1 |  | W 1 |
| No correspondence; <br> no identity | d. | man $_{\mathrm{x}} \mathrm{d}_{\mathrm{y}} \mathrm{unu}$ |  | W 1 |  |  |
| No correspondence; <br> dissimilation | e. | maw $_{\mathrm{x}} \mathrm{d}_{\mathrm{y}} \mathrm{unu}$ |  |  | W 1 | W 1 |

### 2.2. Polish (Padgett 1994): $\mathbf{N}$ dissimilates to glide before $S$

- Polish nasals assimilate in place to following stops (5a), but dissimilate to nasal glides before fricatives (5b).
(5) a. pan bog $\rightarrow$ [pambuk] 'lord god'
b. szansa $\rightarrow$ [šaw̃sa] 'chance'
- Key insight: adjacent [-approx] consonants are sufficiently similar to interact (CORR$\mathrm{C}: \mathrm{C}[-$ approx $]$ ), and interacting consonants must match in continuancy and voice (IDENTCC [cont, voice]).
- Dissimilation of nasal to approximant (6e) evades Corr-C::C[-approx] and IDENT-CC:
(6)

|  |  | / šansa / | $\begin{gathered} \text { IDENT-CC } \\ \text { [cont, place] } \end{gathered}$ | CORR-C::C <br> [-approx] | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { IDENT-IO } \\ \text { [nas] } \\ \hline \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { IDENT-IO } \\ \text { [cont] } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Correspondence; identity | a. | šas $_{x} \mathrm{~S}_{\mathrm{x}} \mathrm{a}$ |  |  | W1 | 1 |
| Correspondence; no identity | b. | šan $_{x} S_{x} a$ | W1 |  |  |  |
| No correspondence; no identity | c. | šan $_{x} \mathrm{~S}_{\mathrm{y}} \mathrm{a}$ |  | W1 |  |  |
| Correspondence; dissimilation | d. | šaw $\tilde{x}_{x} S_{x} a$ | W1 |  |  | 1 |
| No correspondence; dissimilation | ${ }^{(8)} \mathrm{e}$. | šaw $\tilde{x}_{\text {S }} \mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{y}} \mathrm{a}$ |  |  |  | 1 |

- NC clusters that already agree in [-cont] do correspond and assimilate in place:
(7) pan bog $\rightarrow$ [pambog]

|  |  | $/$ pan bog / | IDENT-CC <br> [cont, place] | CoRR-C::C <br> [-approx] | IDENT-IO <br> [nas] | IDENT-IO <br> [cont] |
| ---: | ---: | :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Correspondence; <br> identity | a. | $\operatorname{pam}_{\mathrm{x}} \mathrm{b}_{\mathrm{x}} \mathrm{og}$ |  |  |  |  |
| Correspondence; <br> no identity | b. | $\operatorname{pan}_{\mathrm{x}} \mathrm{b}_{\mathrm{x}} \mathrm{og}$ | W 1 |  |  |  |
| No correspondence; <br> no identity | c. | $\operatorname{pan}_{\mathrm{x}} \mathrm{b}_{\mathrm{y}} \mathrm{og}$ |  | W 1 |  |  |
| Correspondence; <br> dissimilation | d. | $\operatorname{paw}_{\mathrm{x}} \mathrm{b}_{\mathrm{x}} \mathrm{og}$ | W 1 |  |  | W 1 |
| No correspondence; <br> dissimilation | e. | paw $_{\mathrm{x}} \mathrm{b}_{\mathrm{y}} \mathrm{og}$ |  |  |  | W 1 |

### 2.3. Zoque (Padgett 1994: 485): $\mathbf{N}$ deletes before $\mathbf{S}$

- Zoque nasals assimilate in place before stops (8a) but delete before fricatives (8b).

$$
\begin{array}{llll}
\text { a. } N \text {-burru } & \rightarrow & {[\text { mburru }]} & \text { 'my burro' }  \tag{8}\\
\text { b. } N \text {-faha } & \rightarrow & {[\text { faha }]} & \text { 'my belt' }
\end{array}
$$

- Deletion is an extreme end point of dissimilation.
- Key insight: adjacent consonants are sufficiently similar to interact (Corr-C::C), and interacting consonants must match in place and continuancy (IDENT-CC [cont, place])
- By deleting, the nasal evades Corr-C::C and, therefore, IDENT-CC [cont, place]:
N-faha $\rightarrow$ [faha]

|  |  | / N-faha / <br> 'my belt' | IDENT-CC <br> (cont, place] | CORR-C::C | MAX-IO |
| ---: | ---: | :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Correspondence; <br> identity | a. | $\mathrm{m}_{\mathrm{x}} \mathrm{f}_{\mathrm{x}}$ aha | W1 |  | L |
| Correspondence; <br> no identity | b. | $\mathrm{n}_{\mathrm{x}} \mathrm{f}_{\mathrm{x}}$ aha | W 1 |  | L |
| No correspondence; <br> no identity | c. | $\mathrm{n}_{\mathrm{x}} \mathrm{f}_{\mathrm{y} \text { aha }}$ |  | W 1 | L |
| Deletion | d. | faha |  |  | 1 |

- NC clusters that already agree in [-cont] do correspond and can assimilate in place:
(10)
$N$-burru $\rightarrow$ [mburru]

|  |  | / N-burru / <br> 'my burro' | IDENT-CC <br> [cont, place] | CORR-C::C | MAX-IO |
| ---: | ---: | :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Correspondence; <br> identity | a. | $\mathrm{m}_{\mathrm{x}} \mathrm{b}_{\mathrm{x}}$ urru |  |  |  |
| Correspondence; <br> no identity | b. | $\mathrm{n}_{\mathrm{x}} \mathrm{b}_{\mathrm{x}} \mathrm{urru}$ | W 1 |  |  |
| No correspondence; <br> no identity | c. | $\mathrm{n}_{\mathrm{x}} \mathrm{b}_{\mathrm{y}} \mathrm{urru}$ |  | W 1 |  |
| Deletion | d. | burru |  |  | W 1 |

Nasal substitution or fusion, e.g. /N-burru/ $\rightarrow$ [murru], is a variant on the deletion repair (e.g. Pater 2004); subtleties in Faith-IO differentiate deletion [burru] (8d) from fusion [murru]

## 3. TyPOLOGICAL PREDICTIONS (BRIEFLY)

### 3.1. Directionality

- The above tableaus consider only changes to $\mathrm{C}_{1}$, not $\mathrm{C}_{2}$, in unstable $\mathrm{C}_{1} \mathrm{C}_{2}$ correspondences.
- In the majority of scenarios, it is $\mathrm{C}_{1}$ which is affected:
- Positional faithfulness (to onsets) can account for the stability of $\mathrm{C}_{2}$. On positional (e.g. on-set-specific) faithfulness, see e.g. Lombardi 1999, Beckman 1997, Smith 2002.
- Perceptual asymmetries motivate unfaithfulness of $\mathrm{C}_{1}$; see e.g. Steriade's P-Map (2001).
- Sometimes $\mathrm{C}_{2}$ is the one to change in NC clusters, by hardening, voicing, even devoicing. In such cases positional faithfulness is subjugated.
(11) Yao postnasal voicing (Hyman 2001:155): adjacent stops correspond and must match in voicing; assimilation occurs

$$
\begin{array}{llll}
\text { /ku-N-péleka/ } & \rightarrow & \text { ku:-m-béleka } & \text { 'to send me' } \\
\text { /ku-N-kwéela/ } & \rightarrow & \text { ku:- }- \text {-gwéela } & \text { 'to climb on me' }
\end{array}
$$

| Yao assimilation |  | / ku-N-péleka / | IDENT-CC <br> [voice] | CORR-N::T <br> [-cont] | IDENT-IO <br> [cons] | IDENT-IO <br> [+voice] |
| ---: | ---: | :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Correspondence; <br> faithful | a. | ku:m $\mathrm{m}_{\mathrm{x}} \mathrm{p}$ éleka | W 1 |  |  | L |
| Correspondence; <br> assimilation | b. | ku:m $\mathrm{m}_{\mathrm{x}} \mathrm{b}$ éleka |  |  |  | 1 |
| No correspondence; <br> faithful | c. | ku: $\mathrm{n}_{\mathrm{x}} \mathrm{p}_{\mathrm{y}}$ éleka |  | W 1 |  | L |
| No correspondence; <br> [+cons] dissimilation | d. | ku:péleka |  |  | W 1 | L |

## 3.2. *NT versus *ND

- *ND (= "no post-nasal voiced stops") has been proposed as a parallel constraint to *NT (*NÇ) to account for post-nasal devoicing (Hyman 2001).
(12) Tswana postnasal devoicing (Hyman 2001)

$$
\begin{array}{llll}
\text { N-bón-á/ } & \rightarrow & \text { m-pón-á } & \text { 'see me!' }
\end{array} \quad \text { cf. bón-á 'see' },
$$

- *NT and *ND are contradictory constraints = problematic for OT typology (Zsiga et al. 2006; Gouskova et al. 2011).
- An unstable correspondence-based approach does not need to appeal to a specific *ND constraint. ND effects, though rare, fall out of the system of correspondence constraints:
(13) Hierarchy of correspondence constraints based on nasal stop similarity (Walker 1998, 2000b; Hansson 2001; Rose \& Walker 2004) (see also (1)):
Corr-N::N "

Nasal stops $\quad$| Corr-N $:: \mathrm{D}$ |
| :--- |

- Postnasal devoicing: adjacent voiced stops (CORR-N $\because: \mathrm{D}$ ) are an unstable similar correspondence set and must match in nasality/sonority (IDENT-CC[nas]). To avoid nasalization, voicing dissimilation occurs, preventing correspondence.
(14) Tswana dissimilation:/N-bón-á/ $\rightarrow$ m-pón-á

|  |  | /N-bóná / | IDENT-CC <br> [nas] | CORR-N::D <br> [-cont, + voice] | IDENT-IO <br> [nas] | IDENT-IO <br> [+voice] |
| ---: | ---: | :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Correspondence; <br> assimilation | a. | $\mathrm{m}_{\mathrm{x}} \mathrm{m}_{\mathrm{x}}$ óná |  |  | W 1 | L |
| Correspondence; <br> faithful | b. | $\mathrm{m}_{\mathrm{x}} \mathrm{b}_{\mathrm{x}}$ óná | W 1 |  |  | L |
| No correspondence; <br> faithful | c. | $\mathrm{m}_{\mathrm{x}} \mathrm{b}_{\mathrm{y}}$ óná |  | W 1 |  | L |
| No correspondence; <br> [voice] dissimilation | d. | $\mathrm{m}_{\mathrm{x}} \mathrm{p}_{\mathrm{y}}$ óná |  |  |  | 1 |

Ranking of CORR-N::T below input-output faithfulness renders it inert to alternation caused by IdENT-CC [nas]: that is, NT clusters are not sufficiently similar to be unstable.

## 4. Advantages of ABC approach to local NC CONSPIRACIES

The Unstable Correspondence approach has two advantages over past approaches:
4.1. Advantage \#1: Eliminate context-specific markedness constraints and representations.

## Constraints:

- Context-specific markedness constraints (e.g., *NÇ, Pater 1999) tend to be somewhat ad hoc. In principle, there should be an entire constraint space of combinations between primitive elements (e.g., *NT, *ND, *NS, *NZ, etc.) (Hayes 1999).
- Under an ABC approach, not necessarily to stipulate contextual markedness. Burden of segment interaction borne by correspondence relationships, similarity, and locality.


## Representations:

- Feature-geometric dependence of [place] and [continuant] (Padgett 1994, 1995) [problematizes place assimilation in NS clusters]

| Root | Root |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $[+$ nas $]$ | Place |
|  | $\mid$ |
| $[+$ cont $]$ |  |

- Assimilation is blocked when it derives the ill-formed *[+nas, +cons, +cont], thus explaining why NS assimilation is rarer than NT (Padgett 1994: 489).
- Under an ABC approach, feature-geometric dependence is obviated by the similaritybased correspondence constraints of $A B C$.
4.2. Advantage \#2: Formal analyses of local and long-distance interaction (harmony and disharmony) are formally parallel.
- Vowel and consonant assimilations can be modeled using the same formal mechanisms (Sasa 2009, Rhodes 2012 in ABC; Jurgec 2013 using licensed alignment).
- ABC can model local tone patterns across adjacent consonants, vowels, and subsegments (Shih 2013) and long-distance subsegmental correspondences (Inkelas and Shih 2013).
- Both local and long-distance assimilations have similarity bases (Wayment 2009; cf. Rose and Walker 2004).
(16) Prerequisite similarity features for parasitic harmony (culled from Rose and Walker 2004: 484-485; Wayment 2009: 61; a.o.) (not an exhaustive list).

| Features | Local assimilations | Long-distance harmony |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| major consonant place | $\checkmark$ Sudanese Arabic | $\checkmark$ Ngbaka |
| vocalic place | $\checkmark$ Turkish | $\checkmark$ Yowlumne |
| [sonorant] | $\checkmark$ Italian | $\checkmark$ Malto ([-son]) |
| [continuant] | $\checkmark$ Sanskrit | $\checkmark$ Kera |
| [voice] | $\checkmark$ Castilian Spanish | $\checkmark$ Kikongo |
| [color] / [height] | $\checkmark$ Turkish | $\checkmark$ Turkish |

### 4.3. Assimilation as repair for local and long-distance unstable correspondences

(17) $/ 1 /$ assimilates to an immediately following $/ \mathrm{r} /$ in Hungarian (Grimes 2010, section 3.4.9)
/bal-ra/[barra] 'to the left'
/el-rejt/[errejt] 'conceal'
(18) Assimilation as repair for long-distance liquid harmony in Bukusu

- /l/ assimilates across a vowel to a preceding /r/ in Bukusu (Hansson 2001:125; data from http://linguistics.berkeley.edu/CBOLD and Odden 1994). /-il/ = applicative suffix:
a. xam-il-a 'milk for' te:x-el-a 'pick/gather for' i:l-il-a 'cook for'
b. bir-ir-a 'pass for' ir-ir-a 'die' for kar-ir-a 'twist'
(19) Assimilation as repair for local unstable correspondence among sibilants in Hungarian (Siptár \& Törkenczy 2007: 188 ff, Kenesei et al. 1998).
a. $/ \mathrm{S} /$ or $/ 3 /+/ \mathrm{s} / \rightarrow \quad[\mathrm{ss}]$
$\mathrm{ki} / \mathrm{J} /-/ \mathrm{s} / \mathrm{oba} \rightarrow \mathrm{ki}[\mathrm{ss}]$ oba $\quad$ 'small room'
Balá/3/-/s/erint $\rightarrow$ Balá[ss]erint 'according to Blaise
b. $/ \mathrm{S} /$ or $/ \mathrm{z} /+/ \mathrm{z} / \rightarrow \quad \mathrm{zz}]$
má///-/z/ene $\rightarrow$ má[zz]ene 'different music'
gará/3/-/z/árás $\rightarrow$ gára[zz]árás 'garage closing'
(Kenesei et al. 1998)
c. $/ \mathrm{s} /$ or $\left./ \mathrm{z} /+/ \mathrm{J} / \rightarrow \quad \rightarrow \int J\right]$
egé/s/-/J/ereg $\rightarrow$ eqé[ $\left[\int\right]$ ereg 'a whole army'
ho/z/-/S/ót $\rightarrow$ ho[ $\left.\int J\right]$ ót $\quad$ 'bring some salt'
d. $/ \mathrm{s} /$ or $/ \mathrm{z} /+/ 3 / \rightarrow$ [33]
hú/s/-/3/ák $\rightarrow$ hú[33]ák 'twenty sacks'
bené/z/-/3/ófi $\rightarrow$ bené[33]ófi 'Sophie drops in'
(20) Assimilation as repair for long-distance unstable correspondence among sibilants in many languages, e.g. Samala (=Ineseño Chumash; data from Hansson 2001, citing Applegate 1972).

| /k-su-fojin/ | [kJufojin] | 'I darken it' (Hansson 2001:58-59) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| /s-api-tJ ${ }^{\text {ho}}$-it/ | [ apit $^{\text {fiolit] }}$ | 'I have had a stroke of good luck' |
| /ha-s-xintila-waj/ | [hafxintilawaf] | 'his former Indian name' |
| /s-ij-tiji-jep-us/ | [sistisijepus] | 'they (2) show him' |

### 4.4. Deletion (=dissimilation) as repair for local, long-distance unstable correspondence

(21) Zoque (=§2.3): N deletes if it cannot assimilate to following C. Corr forces (local) correspondence; CC constraint requires place identity. Deletion evades both.
a. /N-faha/ $\rightarrow$ [faha] 'my belt'
/N-šapun] $\rightarrow$ [šapun] 'my soap'
b. /N + pama/ $\rightarrow$ [mbama] 'my clothing'
$/ \mathrm{N}+$ burru/ $\rightarrow$ [mburru] 'my burro'
(22) Huave: [h] deletes from coda position following a syllable with another aspirate (example modified from Kim 2008: 81). CORR forces (long-distance) correspondence; CC-Edge requires that correspondents be in the same syllable. Deletion evades both.

$$
\begin{array}{llll}
\text { a. } & \text { /a-pah/ } & \rightarrow & \text { [apah] }
\end{array} l \begin{aligned}
& \text { 'S/he calls' } \\
& \\
& \text { /t-a-h-pah// }
\end{aligned} \rightarrow \text { [tahpa], *tahpah } \quad \begin{aligned}
& \text { 'S/he was called' } \\
& \text { b. /a-naihp/ }
\end{aligned} \rightarrow \text { [anaihp] } \quad \begin{aligned}
& \text { 'S/he sells it' } \\
& \text { /a-h-nap/ }
\end{aligned} \rightarrow \text { [ahnap] } \quad \text { 'It is sold' }
$$

## 5. Conclusion

- Local and long-distance interactions can be handled in one theory based on correspondence and similarity interactions. (contra autosegmental spreading vs. ABC: e.g., Rose and Walker 2004; Gallagher 2008; Bennett 2013; a.o.).
- Using one formal mechanism highlights empirical parallels: similarity bias in segments participating in both local and long-distance correspondences (e.g., Wayment 2009).
- Our proposal: unstable correspondence between segments drives the same repairs for local and long-distance harmony and disharmony patterns.
$\rightarrow$ Segments that are similar enough to interact but too uncomfortably similar to co-exist within a certain distance will result in repairs of assimilation and dissimilation.
- Still an open question: what is the extent of similarities and differences between local and long-distance attraction of segments, esp. if local and non-local interactions arise from different functional sources (local = co-articulation (Hayes 1999); long-distance $=$ speech planning (Hansson 2001))?
$\rightarrow$ Answer to this should illuminate how formally parallel local and non-local (dis)harmony phenomena should be.
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